

SHARED CONSULTATION COUNCIL MINUTES

January 27, 2011
12:00 – 12:50 p.m.
ROOM L238N

Present	Denise Whittaker Co-Chair), Angelina Stuart (Co-Chair), Nick Alioto, Irma Alvarez, Trish Axsom, Randy Beach, Patti Blevins, Corey Breininger, Lukas Buehler, Terry Davis, Diane Gustafson, Jennifer Harper, Michael Kerns, Manny Lopez, Jr., Victoria Lopez, Mark Meadows, Andy MacNeill, Bruce MacNintch, Debbie Trujillo, Bea Zamora-Aguilar, Rosalva Garcia (Recorder)
Absent/Excused	Scott Finn, Mark Sisson
Guests	Anisabel Santamaria, Mink Stavenga, Ron Vess

Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 12:04 p.m. by Co-Chair Stuart.

Public Comment

- Denise Whittaker, Interim Superintendent/President, made introductory remarks outlining her view of the Shared Consultation Council's (SCC) role, which is to be the primary collegial consultation body on campus with four main purposes: Strategic Planning, Issue Management, Policy & Procedure Development and Campus Communication. Whittaker shared that, as far as her knowledge, the SCC is not required to abide by the Brown Act rules for its meetings. The SCC will consult with their constituent groups on this primary purpose and bring back their recommendations to the next meeting.

Approval of Minutes

A motion was made, seconded and unanimously carried to approve the minutes of January 20, with a couple of corrections.

Meetings Frequency (Stuart)

- Denise Whittaker recommended that the SCC meet more frequently, at least once a week, until Accreditation is completed. After discussion, the SCC agreed to hold another Special SCC Meeting on Wednesday, February 2 from 3:00 - 4:30 p.m. Whittaker mentioned that at other colleges the shared governance committee meets at least twice a month for an hour and a half in order to get the business of the campus done. There was no vote. Whittaker asked everyone to take this idea back to their constituencies and report back next time.

Technology Committee (Alioto)

The Draft Technology Plan was reviewed by the Senate as well as the SCC Technology committee; important revisions were made. Please inform your constituencies to review and provide final feedback via email to Nick Alioto by Tuesday, February 1 for the final version of the Draft Technology Plan.

Shared Governance Handbook (Stuart)

Angelina Stuart explained that this crucial Accreditation piece was still in development and that the consultants had provided input on what needed to be in the document. Discussion of Shared Planning and Decision Making Handbook, its role, purpose, and format ensued. The following revisions were suggested for the committee template:

- Remove the Brown Act line (because there are only two that are Brown Act: the Governing Board and the Academic Senate)
- Change the wording, "from meeting time and place," to "frequency and time"
- Remove the Proposition R Committee since this is a statutory committee.
- Add flow charts of the Strategic planning process and the shared governance process.

Once the handbook is adopted by the SCC, a decision should be made by the SCC on where to house it.

The new Superintendent/President impressed the idea of collegial consultation at every level and how having a clear understanding of this process will help keep the function alive regardless of who serves in any given position.

Meeting adjourned at 12:58.

All were invited to the reception for the new Superintendent/President in L238N&S.

DW:AES:RG