



### Agenda Item Details

---

Meeting	Jan 15, 2014 - GB Regular Meeting
Category	19. APPROVAL OF AGREEMENTS - COST TO DISTRICT
Subject	19.2 Agreements with Blue Coast Consulting, Construction Quality Assurance Group, LLC., Consulting & Inspection Services, LLC., Construction Testing & Engineering, Inc., Ninyo & Moore Geotechnical and Environmental Sciences Consultants, Nova Services, Vista Environmental, Inc., and Southern California Soil and Testing, Inc. (Crow)
Type	Action
Fiscal Impact	Yes
Dollar Amount	1,500,000.00
Budgeted	Yes
Budget Source	Various Proposition R Funds
Recommended Action	Approve eleven (11) agreements with nine (9) consulting firms, to provide the following General Engineering Consulting Services: DSA Inspection, Geotechnical, Hazardous Material Assessment and Monitoring, and Material Testing and Inspection related to Request for Proposal (RFP) 1314-1001R, for the period of January 16, 2014 to January 15, 2017, inclusive, in an amount not to exceed \$100,000 per agreement for the three (3) DSA Inspection agreements and not to exceed \$150,000 per agreement for the three (3) Geotechnical, two (2) Hazardous Material Assessment and Monitoring and three (3) Material Testing and Inspection Consulting Services.

SUBMITTED BY: Steven L. Crow, Ed.D., Vice President for Business and Financial Affairs

INITIATED BY: Mark Claussen, Consultant, Business and Financial Affairs, Proposition R

#### OVERVIEW

The Governing Board, at its meeting held April 24, 2013, accepted the Facilities Master Plan (FMP). Contained in the FMP are key planning, programming, and design considerations for implementing the facility needs included in the Educational Master Plan and addressing current campus facilities needs which are:

- Meeting Demands for Growth & Core Mission of the District
- Addressing an Aging Campus
- Infrastructure Needs & Technology Considerations
- Vehicular Access, Parking & Circulation
- Adjacency Considerations for Pedestrian Circulation & Open Space

In consideration of these professional service requirements related to civil engineering, the District Staff conducted a Request for Proposal (RFP) 1314-1001R for General Engineering Consulting Services: Commissioning, DSA Inspection, Geotechnical, Hazardous Material Assessment and Monitoring, Material Testing and Inspection as staff augmentation to the District Facilities, Operations and Planning Division and in support of the Proposition R Building Program.

General Engineering Consulting Services:	Agreement No.
<b>DSA Inspection</b>	
Blue Coast Consulting	A3891.14

Construction Quality Assurance Group, LLC	A3892.14
Consulting & Inspection Services, LLC	A3893.14
<b>Geotechnical</b>	
Construction Testing & Engineering, Inc.	A3894.14
Ninyo & Moore Geotechnical and Environmental Sciences Consultants	A3895.14
Nova Services	A3896.14
<b>Hazardous Material Assessment &amp; Monitoring</b>	
Vista Environmental Consulting, Inc.	A3897.14
Ninyo & Moore Geotechnical and Environmental Sciences Consultants	A3898.14
<b>Material Testing &amp; Inspection Services</b>	
Construction Testing & Engineering, Inc.	A3899.14
Ninyo & Moore Geotechnical and Environmental Sciences Consultants	A3900.14
Southern California Soil & Testing, Inc.	A3901.14

**Process:**

- The committee for this RFP selection process consisted of John Brown, Director of Facilities, Operations & Planning (non-voting Observer of Process), Mark Claussen, Proposition R Program Manager, Tom Holst, District Plumber, Carlos Pineda, District Lead HVAC Mechanic, Joe Stengel, Proposition R Senior Project Manager, Rob DePew, Proposition R Construction Manager, Michela Ferluga, Proposition R Project Manager and Brooke Baldwin, Proposition R Contracts Manager (non-voting observer of Process) who were responsible for developing and reviewing the scope and responses to the RFP and selecting the firms for contract award.
- In accordance with Public Contracts Code Sections (PCCS) 20112 the District placed advertisements in the following newspapers: San Diego Daily Transcript on October 8, 2013 and October 14, 2013; Union Tribune on October 9, 2013 and October 14, 2013, and La Prensa on October 4, 2013 and October 14, 2013. The RFP document was also made available on the District's Website as well as the ProGroup Technology Design Partner website.
- The timeline for this solicitation was as follows:

<b>RFP 1314-1001R</b>	
Advertisement & Publication	Daily Transcript – 10/8/13 & 10/14/13 La Prensa – 10/4/13 & 10/14/13 Union Tribune– 10/9/13 & 10/14/13
Request for Information (RFI) Due Date	October 18, 2013
District Response to RFI	On/around October 23, 2013
RFP Due Date	Originally October 28, 2013 and extended via Addendum #2 to October 29, 2013
Committee Review and Selection	November 19, 2013

- At no time in the development of this solicitation or the execution of the RFP was any individual involved who was also associated with an entity that submitted a response.
- Twenty-six (26) firms submitted proposals in response to this RFP; some of which submitted on two (2) or more engineering service disciplines, totaling thirty-seven (37) proposal submissions.
- One (1) firm submitted past the deadline and was removed from the selection process.
- Of the thirty-seven (37) proposals, five (5) proposals were submitted for Commissioning Services, seven (7) proposals were submitted for DSA Inspection Services, nine (9) proposals were submitted for Geotechnical Services, four (4) proposals were submitted for Hazardous Material Services and twelve (12) proposals were submitted for Material Testing and Inspection Services.
- It was determined by the committee that the Commissioning proposals were not of sufficient quality to effectively select a firm or firms for this service. The consensus of the committee was that it is in the District's best interest to re-solicit for the Commissioning Services.
- In accordance with the selection criteria provided in the RFP documents, and after the committee's discussion which included the merits of the services provided, as well as value and support to the District, nine (9) firms, totaling eleven (11) agreements are recommended for contract award.

<b>RFP1314-1001R – General Engineering Consulting Services: DSA Inspection</b>
--

	<b>Firm</b>	<b>Score/Award</b>
1	All American Engineering	63.25
2	American Engineering Laboratories, Inc.	63.25
3	Blue Coast Consulting*	76.88
4	Construction Quality Assurance Group, LLC*	75.25
5	Consulting & Inspection Services, LLC*	86.00
6	HBI Inspectors	56.00
7	State Consulting	66.38

<b>RFP1314-1001R – General Engineering Consulting Services: Geotechnical</b>		
	<b>Firm</b>	<b>Score/Award</b>
1	Construction Testing and Engineering, Inc.*	85.38
2	G Force	77.63
3	Geocon Incorporated	73.88
4	Group Delta	76.13
5	Ninyo & Moore Geotechnical and Environmental Sciences Consultants*	88.13
6	Nova Services*	83.63
7	RMA Group	65.00
8	Southern California Soil & Testing, Inc.	83.13
9	Twining Consulting	66.50

<b>RFP1314-1001R – General Engineering Consulting Services: Hazardous Material Assessment and Monitoring</b>		
	<b>Firm</b>	<b>Score/Award</b>
1	Anderson Environmental	77.13
2	Geocon Incorporated	76.50
3	Ninyo & Moore Geotechnical and Environmental Sciences Consultants*	91.25
4	Vista Environmental*	84.13

<b>RFP1314-1001R – General Engineering Consulting Services: Material Testing &amp; Inspection</b>		
	<b>Firm</b>	<b>Score/Award</b>
1	American Engineering Laboratories, Inc.	64.00
2	Construction Testing & Engineering, Inc.*	86.75
3	G Force	76.75
4	Geocon Incorporated	72.50
5	Group Delta	71.25
6	Ninyo & Moore Geotechnical and Environmental Sciences Consultants*	89.88
7	Nova Services	80.50
8	Nolte NV5	79.50
9	Southern California Soil & Testing*	83.63
10	Testing & Inspection Services	70.75
11	RMA Group	68.88
12	Twining	65.63

- During the review process, scores were tabulated with the average score given to each proposal based on preset criteria as set forth in the RFP documents:
  - Overall responsiveness of the proposal, clearly stating the understanding of the purpose, scope and objectives.
  - Demonstration of a philosophy that has the best probability of melding with the District during project design development and construction.
  - Technical expertise and viability of the firm.

- Past performance of the Proposer on relevant similar work previously accomplished for California public schools.
- Client references and their satisfaction regarding prior projects.
- Business Proposal- Proposer's compensation requirements and alternatives.
- One firm was not part of the committee review scoring process because they did not meet the proposal submission deadline requirement.

#### RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATION

After consideration of the RFP responses and the committee review, the committee recommends the above noted (\*) consultants for the specified General Engineering discipline for Request for Proposal (RFP) 1314-1001R. The proposals presented by these firms offer the best mix of qualified local consultants to provide the various disciplines outlined in the RFP.

The Agreements for these professional consulting services are structured as task order agreements, with an initial amount of \$100,000 for each of the three (3) DSA Inspection agreements and \$150,000 for each of the three (3) Geotechnical, two (2) Hazardous Material Assessment and Monitoring and three (3) Material Testing and Inspection services. This initial amount will allow staff to move forward with the development of design documents to support construction contract procurements for the solar project, lift station necessary for the Corner Lot development, as well as other various infrastructure and improvement projects. These firms will also be engaged to provide as-needed District staff augmentation support for coordination of new design and construction of the Wellness Center, Math and Science, and Performing Arts Projects. Task orders exceeding the initial amount will be brought to the Governing Board for approval.

- [Agreement with Blue Coast Consulting - DSA.pdf \(738 KB\)](#)
- [Agreement with Construction Quality Assurance Group, LLC - DSA.pdf \(753 KB\)](#)
- [Agreement with Consulting & Inspection Services, LLC - DSA.pdf \(743 KB\)](#)
- [Agreement with Construction Testing Engineering, Inc. - Geotech.pdf \(718 KB\)](#)
- [Agreement with Ninvo & Moore - Geotechnical.pdf \(852 KB\)](#)
- [Agreement with Nova Services - Geotechnical.pdf \(846 KB\)](#) [Agreement with Vista - Haz Mat.pdf \(700 KB\)](#)
- [Agreement with Ninvo & Moore - Haz Mat.pdf \(842 KB\)](#)
- [Agreement with Construction Testing & Engineering, Inc. - Mat Testing.pdf \(781 KB\)](#)
- [Agreement with Ninvo & Moore - Mat Test.pdf \(924 KB\)](#)
- [Agreement with Southern California Soil & Testing - Mat Test.pdf \(786 KB\)](#)
- [Blue Coast Consulting Proposal.pdf \(8,919 KB\)](#)
- [Construction Quality Assurance Group Proposal 1314\\_1001R.pdf \(1,412 KB\)](#)
- [Consulting & Inspection Services Proposal.pdf \(1,135 KB\)](#)
- [Construction Testing & Engineering, Inc. Proposals.pdf \(972 KB\)](#) [Ninvo & Moore Proposals.pdf \(3,182 KB\)](#)
- [Nova Services - Proposal.pdf \(667 KB\)](#) [Vista Proposal.pdf \(1,801 KB\)](#)
- [Southern California Soil & Testing, Inc. Proposal.pdf \(1,903 KB\)](#)
- [RFP 1314\\_1001R General Engineering Services On-Call FINAL.pdf \(654 KB\)](#)